

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Dox 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	T			
09/964,785	00/0//0001	THE THAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
07/704,/63	09/26/2001	Gary K. Loda	SUREB-58450	3304		
39607 7	7590 12/07/2004					
PETER K HA	HN	EXAMINER				
LUCE, FORW.	ARD, HAMILTON, SO	JASTRZAB, KRISANNE MARIE				
600 WEST BR SUITE 2600	OADWAY	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
SAN DIEGO,	CA 92101	1744				
			DATE MAILED: 12/07/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	N	Λ	レ	!	レ
	٠.	•	,-		

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	09/964,785	LODA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TL. MAN INC. D. T.	Krisanne Jastrzab	1744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period with Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from	mely filed /s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this communication.				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowand	ce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	<i>c parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 49	53 O.G. 213. [′]				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-50 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Application Papers		ı				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception a	oted or b) objected to by the E	Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dr	awing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	miner. Note the attached Office	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign p a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents to the priority documents to the certified copies of the priority documents to the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau (* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application for a list of the prior	nave been received. nave been received in Application of documents have been received PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Dat 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e				

Application/Control Number: 09/964,785

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

Priority

This application filed under former 37 CFR 1.60 lacks the necessary reference to the prior application. A statement reading "This is a divisional of Application No. 09/710,730, filed 11/10/2000, now U.S. patent No. 6,468,471 B1." should be entered following the title of the invention or as the first sentence of the specification. Also, the current status of all nonprovisional parent applications referenced should be included.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 34-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Kottler et al., U.S. patent No. 6,504,898 B1.

Kottler et al., clearly teach a method and apparatus for optimizing the irradiation of products wherein maximum and minimum dose rates are determined for the given geometry, such as thickness, of the product to be treated and the intensity of the radiation applied is modified such that the entire product receives the optimal amount of radiation consistently. The modification of the radiation is achieved by means

Application/Control Number: 09/964,785

Art Unit: 1744

adjustably modulating the shape of the radiation generated. See column 1, lines 20-25, column 3, lines 10-15, column 4, lines 1-15 and 40-50, column 7, lines 25-35, column 8, lines 14-47, column 9, lines 25-60, and column 12, lines 60-68.

Double Patenting

A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Claims 1-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-33 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,468,471 B1. This is a double patenting rejection.

It is noted that this application was filed as a "divisional" and claims 34-50 were added in a preliminary amendment, however, the previously prosecuted claims 1-33 have not been canceled accordingly.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisanne Jastrzab whose telephone number is 571-272-1279. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Wed. 6:30am-4:00pm and alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 09/964,785

Art Unit: 1744

Page 4

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on 571-272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Krisanne Jastrzak Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

December 3, 2004